arXiv:1603.05733v1 [math.CV] 18 Mar 2016

ESTIMATION OF WEIGHTED L^2 NORM RELATED TO DEMAILLY'S STRONG OPENNESS CONJECTURE

QI'AN GUAN, ZHENQIAN LI, AND XIANGYU ZHOU

Abstract. In the present article, we obtain an estimation of the weighted L^2 norm near the singularities of plurisubharmonic weight related to Demailly's strong openness conjecture, which implies the convergence of the weighted L^2 norm.

1. introduction

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded pseudoconvex domain, $o \in D$ the origin of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\varphi \in Psh(D)$ a plurisubharmonic function on D. The multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)$ consists of germs of holomorphic functions f such that $|f|^2e^{-\varphi}$ is locally integrable, which is a coherent sheaf of ideals (see [1]).

Demailly's strong openness conjecture (SOC) [2]**:** If $(f,o) \in \mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(f,o) \in \mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon)\varphi)_o$.

Note that $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$ is finitely generated by $(f_j)_{j=1,...,k_0}$. Let $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o = (f_1,...,f_{k_0})$. The truth of SOC implies that there exists $\varepsilon_j > 0$ such that $(f_j,o) \in \mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_j)\varphi)_o$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k_0$. Then, SOC is equivalent to $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o = \mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi)_o$, where $\varepsilon_0 = \min\limits_{1\leq j\leq k_0}\{\varepsilon_j\}$. As $\mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi)_o \subset \mathscr{I}_+(\varphi)_o := \cup_{\varepsilon>0}\mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon)\varphi)_o \subset \mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$, then SOC is equivalent to $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o = \mathscr{I}_+(\varphi)_o$.

In [8], Guan and Zhou proved the above SOC. Moreover, they also established an effectiveness about ε of the conjecture in [9].

Let $L^2_{\mathcal{O}}(D)$ be the Hilbert space of homomorphic functions on D with finite L^2 norm

$$L^2_{\mathcal{O}}(D) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(D)\big|\ ||f||^2_D = \int_D |f|^2 d\lambda_n < \infty\}.$$

whose inner product is defined to be $(f,g) = \int_D f\cdot\overline{g}d\lambda_n$.

Let $I \subset \mathcal{O}_o$ be an ideal and $(e_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ an orthonormal basis of

$$\mathcal{H}_I := \{f\in L^2_{\mathcal{O}}(D)\big|(f,o) \in I\},$$

a closed subspace of $L^2_{\mathcal{O}}(D)$. It is known that there exists a neighborhood $U_0 \subset\subset D$ of o, integer $k_0 > 0$ and some constant $C_0 > 1$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2 \leq C_0\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}|e_k|^2 \quad \text{on } U_0.$$

Date: March 21, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32C35, 32L10, 32U05, 32W05.

The authors were partially supported by NSFC-11431013. The third author would like to thank NTNU for offering him Onsager Professorship. The first author was partially supported by NSFC-11522101.

Key words. Strong openness conjecture, Effectiveness, Plurisubharmonic function, Multiplier ideal sheaf.

one can see the detail in Lemma 2.1.

Put

$$C=C_{\varepsilon_0}(\varphi):=\big[(\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0}C_0\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}-(1+(\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0})^{-\frac{1}{2}})\big]^{-1},$$

where t_0,ε_0 are two positive numbers and φ is negative on D with $\varphi(0)=-\infty$.

In the present article, we obtain the following estimation of the weighted L^2 norm near the singularities of plurisubharmonic weight related to SOC:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o\subset I\subset\mathcal{O}_o$. If $C>0$, then*

$$\int_{U_0\cap\{\varphi<-(t_0+1)\}}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2)e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_n<C^2.$$

Corollary 1.1. *Let e_k $(1\leq k\leq k_0)$ be generators of $I=\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$ with bounded $\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}|e_k|$ on D, which is in the unit ball $B(o;1)$ and*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D|e_k|^2e^{-(1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi}d\lambda_n<\infty.$$

Then, for any $M>0$, there exists $t_0\gg 0$ such that for any negative plurisubharmonic function ψ on D with $\mathscr{I}(\psi)_o\subset\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\psi}<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n\leq 2\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\varphi}<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n,\qquad(*)$$

we have

$$\int_{U_0\cap\{|z|<e^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon_0)(1+\varepsilon_0/2)(t_0+1)}{\varepsilon_0/2}}\}}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2)e^{-\tilde{\psi}}d\lambda_n<M,$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}=\varphi+\frac{\varepsilon_0/2}{(1+\varepsilon_0)(1+\varepsilon_0/2)}\frac{\log|z|}{2},\ \tilde{\psi}=\psi+\frac{\varepsilon_0/2}{(1+\varepsilon_0)(1+\varepsilon_0/2)}\frac{\log|z|}{2}.$$

By the truth of SOC and the above Corollary, we have the following convergence of the weighted L^2 norm related to SOC:

Corollary 1.2. *Let $(\varphi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ be a sequence of negative plurisubharmonic functions on D, which is convergent to φ in Lebesgue measure, and $\mathscr{I}(\varphi_j)_o\subset\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$. Let $(F_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ be a sequence of holomorphic functions on D with $(F_j,o)\in\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$, which is compactly convergent to a holomorphic function F. Then, $|F_j|^2e^{-\varphi_j}$ converges to $|F|^2e^{-\varphi}$ in the L^1_{loc} norm near o. In particular, there exists $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that $\mathscr{I}(\varphi_j)_o=\mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi_j)_o=\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$ for any large enough j.*

The last conclusion in the above Corollary can be obtained by Proposition 1.8 in [9] and finite generation of $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$.

Remark 1.1. Let $(\varphi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ be a sequence of negative plurisubharmonic functions on D. If φ_j is convergent to φ in Lebesgue measure, then φ_j converges to φ in the L^p_{loc} $(0<p<\infty)$ norm.

Proof. It suffices to prove $p \in \mathbb{N}^+$. By a small enough multiplication, it is enough to assume the Lelong number $\nu(\varphi, o) < 1$. Thus, $\mathscr{I}(\varphi_j)_o \subset \mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o = \mathcal{O}_o$. Then, the desired result follows from Corollary 1.2 and the inequality

$$\frac{1}{p!}\int_D |\varphi_j - \varphi|^p d\lambda_n \leq \int_D |e^{-\varphi_j} - e^{-\varphi}| d\lambda_n.$$

which follows from the inequality $\frac{1}{p!}(a-b)^p \leq (e^{a-b}-1)e^b$, for any $a \geq b \geq 0$. □

2. Lemmas used in the proof of main results

We are now in a position to prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $I \subset \mathcal{O}_o$ be an ideal and $(e_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ an orthonormal basis of*

$$\mathcal{H}_I := \{f \in L^2_{\mathcal{O}}(D) \big| (f, o) \in I\},$$

a closed subspace of $L^2_{\mathcal{O}}(D)$. Then, there exists a neighborhood $U_0 \subset\subset D$ of o, integer $k_0 > 0$ and some constant $C_0 > 1$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |e_k|^2 \leq C_0 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |e_k|^2 \quad \text{on } U_0.$$

Proof. It follows from the strong Noetherian property of coherent analytic sheaves that the sequence of ideal sheaves generated by the holomorphic functions

$$(e_k(z)\overline{e_k(\overline{w})})_{k\leq N},\ N = 1, 2, ...,$$

on $D\times D$ is locally stationary.

Let $U \subset\subset D$ be a neighborhood of the origin o. Then there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that for any $N \geq k_0$ we have $(e_k(z)\overline{e_k(\overline{w})})_{k\leq N} = (e_k(z)\overline{e_k(\overline{w})})_{k\leq k_0}$ on U. Since

$$|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k(z)\overline{e_k(\overline{w})}| \leq \big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |e_k(z)|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |e_k(\overline{w})|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

then $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k(z)\overline{e_k(\overline{w})}$ is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of $D\times D$. By the closedness of coherent ideal sheaves under the topology of compact convergence (see [4]), $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k(z)\overline{e_k(\overline{w})}$ is a section of the coherent ideal sheaf generated by $(e_k(z)\overline{e_k(\overline{w})})_{k\leq k_0}$ over $U\times U$. Then, there exists a neighborhood $U_0 \subset\subset U$ of o and functions $a_k(z, w) \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{U_0}\times\overline{U_0}),\ 1 \leq k \leq k_0$, such that on $\overline{U_0}\times\overline{U_0}$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k(z)\overline{e_k(\overline{w})} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} a_k(z, w)e_k(z)\overline{e_k(\overline{w})}.$$

Finally, by restricting to the conjugate diagonal $w = \overline{z}$, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |e_k|^2 \leq C_0 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |e_k|^2 \quad \text{on } U_0.$$

□

To prove Theorem 1.1, we also need the following Lemma, whose various forms already appear in [5, 6, 7, 9].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $B_0 \in (0,1]$ be arbitrarily given and t_0 a positive number. Let D_v be a strongly pseudoconvex domain relatively compact in Δ^n containing o. Let F be a holomorphic function on Δ^n. Let φ, ψ be two negative plurisubharmonic functions on Δ^n, such that $\varphi(o) = \psi(o) = -\infty$. Then there exists a holomorphic function F_{v,t_0} on D_v, such that,*

$$(F_{v,t_0} - F, o) \in \mathscr{I}(\varphi + \psi)_o$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{D_v} |F_{v,t_0} - (1 - b_{t_0}(\psi))F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n \\ \leq &(1 - e^{-(t_0+B_0)}) \int_{D_v} \frac{1}{B_0}(\mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0<\psi<-t_0\}})|F|^2 e^{-\varphi-\psi} d\lambda_n, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $b_{t_0}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{B_0}\mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0<s<-t_0\}} ds$.

In particular, given $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and replacing B_0, t_0, ψ by $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0 t_0, \varepsilon_0\varphi$ respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{D_v} |F_{v,t_0} - (1 - b_{t_0}(\varepsilon_0\varphi))F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n \\ \leq &\frac{1 - e^{-(t_0+1)\varepsilon_0}}{\varepsilon_0} \int_{D_v} \mathbb{1}_{\{-(t_0+1)<\varphi<-t_0\}} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi-\varepsilon\varphi} d\lambda_n \\ \leq &\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \int_{D_v} \mathbb{1}_{\{-(t_0+1)<\varphi<-t_0\}} |F|^2 e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)} d\lambda_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The following Lemma is well known in real analysis.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(f_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ be a sequence of functions in $L^p_{loc}(D)$ $(p > 1)$, which is convergent to f in Lebesgue measure. If there exists some constant $M > 0$ such that*

$$\Big(\int_D |f_j|^p d\lambda_n\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} < M,$$

then

$$\int_D |f_j - f| d\lambda_n \to 0 \quad (j \to \infty).$$

3. THE PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

***Proof of Theorem* 1.1.** Following from Lemma 2.2, for any $1 \leq k \leq k_0$, there exists a holomorphic function $F_k \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_D |F_k - (1 - b_{t_0}(\varepsilon_0\varphi))e_k|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n \\ \leq &\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \int_D \mathbb{1}_{\{-(t_0+1)<\varphi<-t_0\}} |e_k|^2 e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)} d\lambda_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \int_D |F_k|^2 d\lambda_n\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq &\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \int_D |F_k - (1 - b_{t_0}(\varepsilon_0\varphi))e_k|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \int_D |(1 - b_{t_0}(\varepsilon_0\varphi))e_k|^2 d\lambda_n\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It follows from (3) and $0\leq 1-b_{t_0}(\varepsilon_0\varphi)\leq \mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D |F_k|^2 d\lambda_n\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\leq&\big(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D \mathbb{1}_{\{-(t_0+1)<\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2 e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}d\lambda_n\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D \mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2 d\lambda_n\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\leq&\big((\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0})^{\frac{1}{2}}+1\big)\big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D \mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2 d\lambda_n\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we know that $(F_k-e_k,o)\in\mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi)_o\subset\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o\subset I$ and $(F_k,o)\in I$.

Hence, we have

$$F_k=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_k^j e_j,\quad a_k^j\in\mathbb{C},\ 1\leq k\leq k_0,$$

and

$$\int_D |F_k|^2 d\lambda_n=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|a_k^j|^2,\quad 1\leq k\leq k_0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, the following holds on U_0,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}|F_k-e_k|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\geq\big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}|e_k|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}|F_k|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\geq&\big(\frac{1}{C_0}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2)\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\big(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|a_k^j|^2)\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
=&\big((\frac{1}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2}}-(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D|F_k|^2d\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big)\big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\geq&\big((\frac{1}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2}}-((\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0})^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big)\big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Denote by

$$A:=(\frac{1}{C_0})^{\frac{1}{2}}-((\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0})^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $C_{\varepsilon_0}(\varphi)>0$ and

$$A\cdot C_{\varepsilon_0}(\varphi)=\big(\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0}\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}>0,$$

it follows that $A>0$.

Then from (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&A^2\cdot\big(\int_{\{\varphi<-(t_0+1)\}\cap U_0}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2)e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_n\big)\\
\leq&\int_{\{\varphi<-(t_0+1)\}\cap U_0}(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}|F_k-e_k|^2)e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_n\\
=&\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_{\{\varphi<-(t_0+1)\}\cap U_0}|F_k-e_k|^2e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_n.
\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}|F_k-(1-b_{t_0}(\varepsilon_0\varphi))e_k|^2\big|_{\{\varphi<-(t_0+1)\}\cap U_0}=\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}|F_k-e_k|^2.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_{\{\varphi<-(t_0+1)\}\cap U_0}|F_k-e_k|^2e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_n\\
\leq&\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D|F_k-(1-b_{t_0}(\varepsilon_0\varphi))e_k|^2e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_n\\
\leq&\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{-(t_0+1)<\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}d\lambda_n\\
\leq&\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0}\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n.
\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

Combining inequalities (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{\varphi<-(t_0+1)\}\cap U_0}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2)e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_n\\
\leq&\frac{1}{A^2}\cdot\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0}\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n\\
=&\big[(\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0}C_0\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}-(1+(\frac{e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}}{\varepsilon_0})^{-\frac{1}{2}})\big]^{-2}\\
=&C^2_{\varepsilon_0}(\varphi).
\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

□

Remark 3.1. If $I=\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o=\mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi)_o$ in the above theorem and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D|e_k|^2e^{-(1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi}d\lambda_n<\infty,$$

then for any $\varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2>0$, there exists $t_0\gg 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D\mathbb{1}_{\{-(t_0+1)<\varphi<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2e^{(\varepsilon_0+1)(t_0+1)}d\lambda_n<\varepsilon_1$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D |(1-b_{t_0}(\varepsilon_0\varphi))e_k|^2 d\lambda_n < \varepsilon_2.$$

Furthermore, we can get

$$\int_{\{\varphi<-(t_0+1)\}\cap U_0}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2)e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_n \leq \big((\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_0}\cdot C_0)^{-1/2}-(1+(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_2})^{-1/2})\big)^{-2}.$$

***Proof of Corollary* 1.1.** By Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_U |F|^2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon_0/2)\tilde{\varphi}}d\lambda_n\\ &\leq \big(\int_U |F|^2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi}d\lambda_n\big)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_0/2}{1+\varepsilon_0}}\big(\int_U |F|^2 e^{-\log|z|/2}d\lambda_n\big)^{\frac{\varepsilon_0/2}{1+\varepsilon_0}},\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi)_o \subset \mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_0/2)\tilde{\varphi})_o \subset \mathscr{I}(\tilde{\varphi})_o \subset \mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$, i.e.,

$$\mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_0/2)\tilde{\varphi})_o = \mathscr{I}(\tilde{\varphi})_o = \mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o.$$

As

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D |e_k|^2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi}d\lambda_n < \infty,$$

there exists $t_0 \gg 0$ such that $0<C_{\varepsilon_0/2}(\tilde{\varphi})<\sqrt{M}/2$, and $0 < C_{\varepsilon_0/2}(\tilde{\psi}) \leq 2\cdot C_{\varepsilon_0/2}(\tilde{\varphi})$ by $(*)$.

Since $\tilde{\psi} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0/2}{(1+\varepsilon_0)(1+\varepsilon_0/2)}\log|z|$ on D, we have

$$\{|z| < e^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon_0)(1+\varepsilon_0/2)(t_0+1)}{\varepsilon_0/2}}\} \subset \{\tilde{\psi} < -(t_0+1)\}.$$

Then, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{U_0\cap\{|z|<e^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon_0)(1+\varepsilon_0/2)(t_0+1)}{\varepsilon_0/2}}\}}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2)e^{-\tilde{\psi}}d\lambda_n\\ \leq &\int_{U_0\cap\{\tilde{\psi}<-(t_0+1)\}}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2)e^{-\tilde{\psi}}d\lambda_n \leq C^2_{\varepsilon_0/2}(\tilde{\psi}) < M.\end{aligned}$$

□

***Proof of Corollary* 1.2.** As every sequence which is convergent in Lebesgue measure has a subsequence which is convergent almost everywhere, then it is sufficient to prove the result for the case that φ_j is convergent to φ almost everywhere.

By the truth of SOC, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\mathscr{I}(\varphi) = \mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi)$ on a neighborhood D of o. Without loss of generality, we assume the unit ball $B(o;1) \supset D$.

Since F_j is compactly convergent to a holomorphic function F, by shrinking D, we can assume that $\int_D |F_j|^2 d\lambda_n$ is uniformly bounded. Let e_k, $1 \leq k \leq k_0$, be as in Corollary 1.1. Then, we infer from $(F_j, o) \in \mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o$ and Lemma 2.1 that there exist complex numbers a_j^k such that $F_j = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} a_j^k e_k$ and $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_j^k|^2 = \int_D |F_j|^2 d\lambda_n$ is uniformly bounded.

Since φ_j is convergent to φ almost everywhere, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\varphi}_j<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n \leq 2\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}\int_D \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\varphi}<-t_0\}}|e_k|^2d\lambda_n,$$

where $\tilde{\varphi_j}=\varphi_j+\frac{\varepsilon_0/2}{(1+\varepsilon_0)(1+\varepsilon_0/2)}\frac{\log|z|}{2}$. By Corollary 1.1, there exists a neighborhood $V_0\subset\subset D$ of o and $M>0$ such that

$$\int_{V_0}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2e^{-\varphi_j}d\lambda_n<M.$$

Let $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$. Replacing φ by $(1+\varepsilon/2)\varphi$ and φ_j by $(1+\varepsilon/2)\varphi_j$, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{V}_0}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2e^{-(1+\varepsilon/2)\varphi_j}d\lambda_n<\tilde{M},$$

for some neighborhood $\tilde{V}_0\supset o$ and some constant $\tilde{M}$ which are independent of φ_j. As $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_j^k|^2$ is uniformly bounded, by Schwarz inequality, it follows that

$$\int_{\tilde{V}_0}|F_j|^2e^{-(1+\varepsilon/2)\varphi_j}d\lambda_n\leq\int_{\tilde{V}_0}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_j^k|^2)\cdot(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|e_k|^2)e^{-(1+\varepsilon/2)\varphi_j}d\lambda_n$$

is uniformly bounded. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain that $F_je^{-\varphi_j}$ converges to $Fe^{-\varphi}$ as j goes to infinity in the L^1_{loc} norm on $\tilde{V}_0$.

Replacing φ_j by $(1+\varepsilon_0)\varphi_j$, we obtain the second assertion from the first one.□

4. Relation to semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents

In [3], Demailly and Kollár proved the following semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents.

Theorem 4.1. (Main Theorem 0.2, [3]). *Let X be a complex manifold, $K\subset X$ a compact subset and φ a plurisubharmonic function on X. If $c<c_K(\varphi)$ and (φ_j) is a sequence of plurisubharmonic functions on X which is convergent to φ in L^1_{loc} norm, then $e^{-2c\varphi_j}$ converges to $e^{-2c\varphi}$ in L^1 norm over some neighborhood U of K.*

Indeed, by subtracting a constant, we can assume φ is negative on K. As $\int_K\varphi_jd\lambda_n\leq\int_K|\varphi-\varphi_j|d\lambda_n+\int_K\varphi d\lambda_n$, we obtain that φ_j is also negative on K. Then, Theorem 4.1 is a special case of Corollary 1.2 when $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o=\mathcal{O}_o$. With additional condition $\varphi_j\leq\varphi$, Theorem 4.1 can be referred to [10] for multiplier ideals, which is also a special case of Corollary 1.2.

If $\varphi=\log|g|$ for J-vector holomorphic functions $g(z,c)=(g_1,...,g_J)$ on a polydisk $\Delta^n\times\Delta$, then it follows that

Theorem 4.2. (Main Theorem, [11]). *Assume that $\int_{\Delta^n}|g(z,0)|^{-\delta}<\infty$. Then there exists a smaller polydisk $\Delta'^n\times\Delta'$ so that the function $c\mapsto\int_{\Delta'^n}|g(z,c)|^{-\delta}$ is finite and continuous for $c\in\Delta'$.*

References

[1] J.-P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2010.

[2] J.-P. Demailly, *Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry*, School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000), 1–148, ICTP Lect. Notes, 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001.

[3] J.-P. Demailly, J. Kollár, *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 34 (2001), no. 4, 525–556.

[4] H. Grauert, R. Remmert, *Coherent Analytic Sheaves*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenchaften, 265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[5] Q. A. Guan, X. Y. Zhou, *Optimal constant in an L^2 extension problem and a proof of a conjecture of Ohsawa*. Sci. China Math. 58 (2015), no. 1, 35-59.

[6] Q. A. Guan, X. Y. Zhou, *A solution of an L^2 extension problem with optimal estimate and applications*, Ann. of Math. (2) 181 (2015), no. 3, 1139–1208.

[7] Q. A. Guan, X. Y. Zhou, *Strong openness conjecture and related problems for plurisubharmonic functions*, arXiv:1401.7158.

[8] Q. A. Guan, X. Y. Zhou, *A proof of Demailly's strong openness conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) 182 (2015), 605–616. See also arXiv:1311.3781.

[9] Q. A. Guan, X. Y. Zhou, *Effectiveness of Demailly's strong openness conjecture and related problems*, Invent. Math. 202 (2015), no. 2, 635–676.

[10] H. H. Pham, *The weighted log canonical threshold*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris (4) 352 (2014), 283–288.

[11] D. H. Phong, J. Sturm, *Algebraic estimates, stability of local zeta functions, and uniform estimates for distribution functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) 152 (2000), no. 1, 277–329.

Qi'an Guan: School of Mathematical Sciences, and Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China.

E-mail address: guanqian@amss.ac.cn

Zhenqian Li: School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China.

E-mail address: lizhenqian@amss.ac.cn

Xiangyu Zhou: Institute of Mathematics, AMSS, and Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

E-mail address: xyzhou@math.ac.cn